#### Checklist of Full-time Faculty Evaluation Forms

Fac	culty eva	duation teams will use the following <u>two (2)</u> r	equi	ired guid	lelines and forms:
		A/FT Coversheet for the Faculty Evaluation Pr Q/FT: Administrative Assessment Review	oces	SS	
Co	mprehen	a checklist of the additional forms that she sive evaluation, according to faculty assignme e above required forms.			
Inst	ructional B/FT:	Materials Review and Classroom Instruction Observation	Res	ulty wi ponsibilit O/FT:	ith Reassigned Time for Director ies Faculty Director Evaluation
Inst	E/FT: cructional B/FT: G/FT: H/FT:	Faculty Open Lab Materials Review and Classroom Instruction Observation Materials Review and Open Lab Activity Observation Student Evaluation for Open Lab Faculty		R-2/FT: S-1/FT: S-2/FT:	Mode A Remediation Plan Mode A Remediation Plan Report Mode C Remediation Plan Mode C Remediation Plan Report Faculty with Multiple Assignments
Inst	ructional C/FT:	Faculty On-line Materials Review and On-line Instruction			lty/Instructional Materials Review and Classroom Instruction
	F/FT:	Observation Student Evaluation for On-line Instructional Faculty		E/FT: M/FT:	Observation Student Evaluation for Instructional Faculty Observation form for Library Faculty
Nor	I-Instructi L/FT: I/FT: J/FT:	ional Faculty with Student Contact Hours Student Evaluation for Non-Instructional Faculty Student Consent Form for Observation of Faculty/Student Interaction Observation for Counseling/ Non-Instructional Faculty	Cou	N/FT: unseling F B/FT: E/FT: I/FT: J/FT:	Student Evaluation for Library Faculty  aculty/Instructional  Materials Review and Classroom Instruction Observation Student Evaluation for Instructional Faculty Student Consent Form for Observation of Faculty/Student Interaction Observation for Counseling/Non-Instructional
Nor	Hours	aculty Service Surveys that reflect the full range for faculty service			Faculty tudent Evaluation for Counseling Faculty
	M/FT: O	lty/Non-Instructional bservation form for Library Faculty tudent Evaluation for Library Faculty			
Cou	J/FT: I/FT:	On-Instructional Faculty Observation for Counseling/Non-Instructional Faculty Student Consent Form for Observation of Faculty/Student Interaction tudent Evaluation for Counseling Faculty			
	ulty with on release P/FT:	Reassigned Time (not related to Faculty d time) Faculty Service Surveys that reflect the full range of reassigned service			

#### **Guidelines for Faculty Portfolio**

#### The purpose of the Portfolio is to:

- Demonstrate an on-going commitment to professional growth and development
- Provide an opportunity to give voice to a teaching philosophy
- Showcase the progress, best work and achievements of the faculty member
- Document campus, community, professional, and other multidimensional contributions
- Afford the committee a content in which to view their schedule observations
- Present a creative reflection of the faculty member's professional life
- Act as the primary presentation aid and catalyst for the pre-interview

#### The purpose of the Portfolio **IS NOT TO**:

- Create additional work
- Create additional expectations
- Provide a basis of comparison or competition with other faculty
- Create a huge personnel file (the portfolio is the property of the faculty member and will never leave the faculty member's possession)

Portfolios are developed during the first year of Mode A through training and mentoring, but are required during the second and subsequent years of evaluation. First year faculty should include their teaching philosophy, goals for the year, and a copy of syllabi and course materials. Beginning the second Mode A evaluation and for all Mode B evaluations the following components are required for portfolios; please note the items that must be duplicated for committee members or files/

#### Required in the portfolio with duplicates for your committee and personnel file:

- > Contract-related accomplishments
- > Goals for professional growth
- > Teaching Philosophy

#### Required for portfolio, with duplicates for your committee members for the Material Review:

- > Syllabi for courses taught in the current semester
- > Samples of assignments/assessment activities for each learning environment that will be evaluated

#### Guidelines for Faculty Portfolio (continued)

#### Required for your portfolio, no duplicates necessary:

- ➤ Brief statement of your instructional and/or service philosophy
- ➤ A brief statement about what you have contributed or gained by your campus/district service in any of the following areas:
  - Committee work (departmental, shared governance, screening, district and state)
  - Staff Development Workshops presented
  - Curriculum Development
  - Program review
- A brief narrative summarizing your student learning outcomes and assessment strategies. Your portfolio should reflect your unique contributions

#### Portfolio Preparation:

Portfolios may be developed in any appropriate medium, for instance, electronic formats or three-ring binders or other methods appropriate to the evaluee's assignment. Beyond the above items the portfolio contents will vary from individual to individual and will reflect the personal style and choices of the faculty member. The items you choose should help to showcare your progress and achievements since your last evaluation. Keep in mind that, in terms of your evaluation, your portfolio is simply a presentation aid. Other than the required items, select only the information that you want to discuss in the pre-interview 20-30 minutes). Your portfolio should take no longer than three (3) hours to prepare for your pre-interview. The teaching philosophy will require more reflection.

The purpose of the Portfolio Review with the Evaluation Committee to:

- Explain the evaluation procedure and answer questions about it
- Encourage dialog and make the faculty member part of the evaluation process
- Clarify departmental expectations
- Allow the faculty member to present his or her accomplishments via a portfolio presentation (20-30 minutes)
- Provide the faculty member with an opportunity for self-assessment and goal setting
- Determine who will complete the Campus/Community Inventory evaluation forms for the faculty member (all faculty with reassigned time duties, others optional)
- Answer any unresolved questions from the faculty member



2100 Chester Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301-4099 (661) 336-5100

Artic	ام 6	Form	$\Lambda / ET$
ATHC	18 N -	COLL	$A/\Gamma$

	Bakersfield College
	Bakersfield College
	Cerro Coso College
٦	Porterville College

### **Full-time Faculty Evaluation Cover Sheet**

Name of Faculty Memb			Fall Spring			ring				
Department/Division/Pr	rogram Area								of Pages	
☐ Mode A Year 1		☐ Mode A	Year 3	1		(inciudi le A Yea	_	er sheet)		
☐ Mode B Brief	☐ Mode A Year 2 ☐ Mode B Comprehens	ive	☐ Mode C			_			aculty Y	ear
	mendation: Recommen				y in th			•	acarty 1	
Discipline Kno Effective Teach Effective Use of Institutional Pa	owledge hing Methods of Technology urticipation	lucu as a	model for		Facilita Student	ting the t Advis		ng Env acilitat	rironment ing	
☐ Satisfacto	•		☐ Needs	Improvemen	nt			□ t	Unsatisfac	etory
Committee Mer	nber									
Faculty Chair (print)			(Signature)					Date		
Faculty Member (print) ModeA&C only	(department/division chosen,	)	(Signature)					Date		
Faculty Member (print)	(evaluee chosen)		(Signature)					Date		
Other (specify) (print)			(Signature)					Date		
Educational Administra	tor (print)		(Signature)					Date		
file. I understand	report has been disc I my signature does r to any material in th	iot necess	sarily indi	cate agreen	nent. I	also u	nderst	and I h	ave ten (	
Evaluee's Signature		Date	Con	nments						
<ul> <li>□ Evaluation Committee Summary</li> <li>□ Portfolio Review Comments (including Go Accomplishments)</li> <li>□ Observations by Peers and Supervisor</li> <li>□ Student Evaluation Summary</li> </ul>			Faculty Service  Administrative  Remediation Pl  Form R-1/FT)  Other		tive As n Plan	ssessme		only, if a	opropriate;	
Vice President's Sign	ature	Date	•		Comn	nents				
College President's o	r Designee's Signature	Date	1		Comn	nents				
Chancellor's or Desig	nee's Signature	Date	·		Comn	nents				



Article 6 - Form B/FT

Bakersfield College
Cerro Coso College
Porterville College

2100 Chester Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301-4099 (661) 336-5100

### KCCD Full-time Faculty Evaluation Materials Review and Classroom Instruction Observation

Evaluee		Evaluator	
Course	CRN		Date
Topic for the Day			
☐ Mode A Year 1 ☐ Mode B	☐ Mode A Year 2 ☐ Mode C	☐ Mode A Year 3 ☐ Temporary Faculty	Mode A Year 4 COF Faculty

Materials Review

The evaluee will provide the class materials to the evaluators.

Each evaluator should review a different class syllabus and samples of class materials (where applicable) using the criteria below. Evaluators should review the curriculum document of record relevant to the course materials. The following items should be referred to objectively.

	Materials Review:	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongl y Disagree	Unable to Assess	Comments
Cou	rrse Organization:						
1.	The syllabus describes the course content.	0	О	О	О	О	
2.	The syllabus includes an outline or calendar of topics to be covered, in a logical sequence.	0	О	0	0	О	
3.	Time given to course topics and assignments is appropriate.	О	О	О	О	О	
4.	The syllabus effectively describes student assignments, grading criteria, course policies.	0	О	0	О	0	
5.	The faculty member's expectations and policies are appropriate for the course level.	0	О	0	0	О	
6.	The syllabus states availability of accommodations for students with disabilities.	0	О	0	О	0	
7.	The assignments and assessment activities reflect course goals.	0	О	О	О	О	

**Summary Comments of Materials Review:** 

#### B/FT Faculty Materials Review and Classroom Instruction Observation (continued)

#### Classroom Instruction Observation

Each evaluator should observe a class session and evaluate the following aspects of the learning environment. The following items should be referred to objectively during the observation. If the observation does not include all of the items, use the "Unable to Assess" item.

	Classroom Instruction Observation:	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Unable to Assess	Comments
Str	ucture and Goals:						
1.	Activity shows clear signs of planning and	0	0				
_	organization.	0	0	О	О	0	
2.	Multiple instructional elements (e.g. lecture, handouts, technology) are						
	used, if appropriate.	0	0	О	О	0	
3.	When multiple instructional elements are used, they are effectively integrated.	O	O	0	O	О	
4.	Class time is used						
	effectively.	О	О	О	О	О	
	Faculty creates a learning environment.	0	О	0	0	О	
	Presentation is clear, and transitions between topics are effective.	О	О	O	О	О	
Beł	naviors:						
7.	Delivery is effective and understandable.	О	О	О	0	О	
8.	Faculty maintains effective eye contact.	0	О	О	0	0	
9.	Oral delivery is clear and easy to follow.	0	0	0	0	0	
10.	Rate and tone of oral	0		0			
	delivery are effective.	О	О	О	О	О	
	Faculty exhibits a poised demeanor.	0	O	О	О	0	
12.	Faculty is enthusiastic about course content.	0	О	О	О	0	
13.	Language used is understandable and at the appropriate level for the course.	0	0	0	0	0	
For	culty and Student Rapport:	0	0	0		U	
	Faculty treats students						
17.	equitably.	О	О	О	О	О	
15.	Students are attentive.	0	0	0	0	0	
	Questions are encouraged.	0	0	0	0	0	
	Questions are answered						
	clearly.	О	О	0	О	О	
18.	Faculty and students demonstrate respect for						
	each other.	О	O	О	О	О	

Classroom Instruction Observation:	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Unable to Assess	Comments
<b>Professional Expertise:</b>						
19. Faculty demonstrates adequate and up-to-date knowledge of the topics presented.	O	0	0	0	0	
20. Material is appropriate for	0	0	0	0	0	
setting and course level.	U	Ü	U	U	U	

21. What specific strengths did you identify in this instructor?

22. Please list any specific changes needed to strengthen this instructor's effectiveness.
23. List any other suggestions for improvement.
24. Other comments or suggestions?
Materials Review and Classroom Instruction Observation Assessment
☐ Satisfactory ☐ Needs Improvement ☐ Unsatisfactory
Evaluator's Signature Date

Thank you for your participation.



2100 Chester Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301-4099 (661) 336-5100

#### Article 6 - Form C/FT

Bakersfield College
Cerro Coso College
Porterville College

### KCCD Full-time Faculty Evaluation Materials Review and Online Instruction Observation

Evaluee		Evaluator	
Course	CRN		Date
Topic for the Day			
☐ Mode A Year 1 ☐ Mode B	☐ Mode A Year 2 ☐ Mode C	☐ Mode A Year 3 ☐ Temporary Faculty	☐ Mode A Year 4☐ COF Faculty

#### Materials Review

The evaluee will provide the class materials to the evaluators.

Each evaluator should review a different class syllabus and samples of class materials (where applicable) using the criteria below. Evaluators should review the curriculum document of record relevant to the course materials. The following items should be referred to objectively.

	Materials Review:	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongl y Disagree	Unable to Assess	Comments
Cou	rrse Organization:						
1.	The syllabus describes the course content.	О	О	О	О	О	
2.	The syllabus includes an outline or calendar of topics to be covered, in a logical sequence.	0	О	0	0	О	
3.	Time given to course topics and assignments is appropriate.	О	О	О	О	О	
4.	The syllabus effectively describes student assignments, grading criteria, course policies.	О	О	О	О	О	
5.	The faculty member's expectations and policies are appropriate for the course level.	0	О	0	О	0	
6.	The syllabus states availability of accommodations for students with disabilities.	О	О	О	0	0	
7.	The assignments and assessment activities reflect course goals.	О	О	О	О	О	

**Summary Comments of Materials Review:** 

#### **Online Instruction Observation**

Each evaluator should observe the course web site and evaluate the following aspects of the learning environment. The following items should be referred to objectively during the observation. If the observation does not include all of the items, use the "Unable to Assess" item.

Online Instruction Observation	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Unable to Assess	Comments
Structure and Goals:						
1. Site materials show clear signs of planning and organization.	О	О	О	О	О	
2. Site contains multiple instructional elements (text, graphics, links, media, chat)	0	О	О	0	О	
3. The site materials are easy to navigate.	О	О	О	0	0	
4. Content is presented in an effective, understandable manner.	0	0	0	0	О	
Behaviors:						
5. Content delivery is clear and easy to follow.	О	О	О	О	О	
6. Language used is understandable and at appropriate level for the student.	0	0	0	0	О	
Faculty and Student Rapport:						
7. Faculty treats students equitably.	О	О	О	O	О	
8. Faculty provides adequate opportunity for communication with students.	О	0	0	0	О	
The faculty member has offered course materials in a way that offers reasonable accommodation to students with disabilities.	О	О	O	O	О	
Professional Expertise:						
10. Faculty demonstrates adequate, up-to-date, knowledge of the topics presented.	О	0	О	О	О	
11. Material is appropriate for setting and course level.	О	О	О	О	О	
12. Site materials utilize appropriate vocabulary and grammar.	О	О	О	О	О	

<sup>13.</sup> What specific strengths did you identify in this instructor?

14. Please list any specific changes needed to strengthen this instructor's effectiveness.

C/FT Faculty Materials Review and Online Instruction Ob	servation (continued)	
15. List any other suggestions for improvement	nt.	
16. Other comments or suggestions?		
Materials Review and On-line Instruction	on Observation Assessme	<del>                                     </del>
_	mprovement	Unsatisfactory
Evaluator's Signature	D:	ate

3/2011 DO/HR Records Retention Code—Class 1, Permanent Records



Bakersfield, CA 93301-4099 (661) 336-5100

#### Article 6 - Form E/FT

Bakersfield College
Cerro Coso College
Porterville College

# KCCD Full-time Faculty Evaluation Student Evaluation for Instructional Faculty

Instructor's Name						
Course	CRN	Date				

This information is confidential and your responses will be anonymous. FILL IN THE ENTIRE CIRCLE THAT CORRESPONDS TO YOUR ANSWER FOR EACH QUESTION. ERASE MARKS COMPLETELY TO MAKE A CHANGE.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
The instructor's syllabus explains course objectives and grading criteria.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. The instructor clearly states the course expectations and student responsibilities.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The instructor clearly communicates dates and directions for assignments and tests.	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. The instructor demonstrates knowledge of the subject.	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. The instructor encourages questions.	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. The instructor answers questions effectively.	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. The instructor encourages participation.	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. The instructor is enthusiastic about the subject.	0	0	0	0	0	0
The instructor encourages creative and/or critical thinking.	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. The instructor creates a positive environment for learning.	0	0	0	0	0	0
11. The instructor uses technology that is appropriate for this type of course.	0	0	0	0	0	0
12. The instructor presents material in a variety of ways.	0	0	0	0	0	0
13. The instructor explains concepts clearly and effectively.	0	0	0	0	0	0
14. The instructor encourages me to be responsible for my own learning.	0	0	0	0	0	0
15. The instructor grades the assignments for all students in a fair and consistent manner.	0	0	0	0	0	0
16. The instructor returns exams and assignments in a timely manner.	0	0	0	0	0	0
17. The instructor provides useful feedback on exams and assignments.	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### Full-time Faculty Student Evaluation for Instructional Faculty (continued)

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
18. The instructor is available during office hours.	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. The instructor treats me courteously and fairly.	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. The instructor demonstrates respect for individuals, regardless of their cultural background, ethnicity, race, gender, religion, disability, age, sexual orientation, or socioeconomic status.	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. I would recommend this instructor to other students.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compared to courses you have taken here, or at other colleges, rate the following items in this course with regard to:	Much higher than other courses	Higher than other courses	The same as other courses	Lower than other courses	Much lower than other courses	No other college courses completed
22. Expectations for student work are:	0	0	0	0	0	0
23. Quality of instructor feedback is:	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### **COMMENTS SECTION**

24. What specific changes could this instructor make to help future students succeed in this course?

25. List specific course activities that have helped you learn the most.

26. Other comments?

Thank you for your participation.



2100 Chester Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301-4099 (661) 336-5100

Artic	le 6 -	Form	G/FT
$\neg$	IG U -	I OIII	1 0/1 1

	Bakersfield College
	Cerro Coso College
]	Porterville College

### KCCD Full-time Faculty Evaluation Materials Review and Open Lab Activity Observation

Evaluee	Evaluator
Type of Session	Date
<ul><li>☐ Mode A Year 1</li><li>☐ Mode A Year 2</li><li>☐ Mode B</li><li>☐ Mode C</li></ul>	<ul><li>☐ Mode A Year 3</li><li>☐ Temporary Faculty</li><li>☐ COF Faculty</li></ul>
Choose One:  □This faculty member <b>is not</b> responsible for dev Review section)  □This faculty member <b>is</b> responsible for develop Review section)	•
Materials Review	

The evaluee will provide the class materials to the evaluators.

Each evaluator should review a different class syllabus and samples of class materials (where applicable) using the criteria below. Evaluators should review the curriculum document of record relevant to the course materials. The following items should be referred to objectively.

	Materials Review:	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagre e	Strongly Disagree	Unable to Assess	Comments
Co	urse Organization:						
1.	The syllabus describes the course content.	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	The syllabus includes an outline or calendar of topics to be covered, in a logical sequence.	0	0	0	0	0	
3.	Time given to course topics and assignments is appropriate.	0	0	0	0	0	
4.	The syllabus effectively describes student assignments, grading criteria, course policies.	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	The faculty member's expectations and policies are appropriate for the course level.	0	0	0	0	0	
6.	The syllabus states availability of accommodations for students with disabilities.	0	0	0	0	0	
7.	The assignments and assessment activities reflect course goals.	0	0	0	0	0	

**Summary Comments of Materials Review:** 

#### G/FT Faculty Materials Review and Open Lab Activity Observation (continued)

#### Open Lab Activity Observation

The following items should be referred to objectively during observation. If the observation does not include all of the items, use the "Unable to Assess" item.

	Open Lab Activity Observation:	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Unable to Assess	Comments		
Str	Structure and Goals:								
	Activity shows clear signs of planning and organization.	0	0	0	0	0			
2.	Multiple informative elements (i.e., discussion, handouts, technology) are used, if appropriate.	0	0	0	0	0			
3.	When multiple informative elements are used, they are effectively integrated.	0	0	0	0	0			
4.	Time is used effectively.	0	0	0	0	0			
5.	Faculty member maintains learning environment.	0	0	0	0	0			
6.	Presentation is clear, and transitions between topics are effective.	0	0	0	0	0			
Bel	haviors:	•							
7.	Delivery is effective and understandable.	0	0	0	0	0			
8.	Faculty maintains effective eye contact.	0	0	0	0	0			
9.	Oral delivery is clear and easy to follow.	0	0	0	0	0			
10.	Rate and tone of oral delivery are effective.	0	0	0	0	0			
11.	Faculty exhibits a poised demeanor.	0	0	0	0	0			
12.	Faculty member is enthusiastic and excited about his/her professional assignment and content.	0	0	0	0	0			

#### G/FT Faculty Materials Review and Open Lab Activity Observation (continued)

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Unable to Assess	Comments
Language used is     understandable and     appropriate level for the     student.	0	0	0	0	0	
Faculty and Student Rapport:	l .	ı				
14. Faculty member is approachable.	0	0	0	0	0	
15. Faculty treats students equitably.	0	0	0	0	0	
16. Questions are encouraged.	0	0	0	0	0	
17. Questions are answered clearly.	0	0	0	0	0	
18. Faculty member and student(s) demonstrate respect for each other.	0	0	0	0	0	
Professional Expertise:						
<ol> <li>Faculty member demonstrates adequate, up- to-date knowledge of the topics discussed.</li> </ol>	0	0	0	0	0	
20. Material is appropriate for setting and student level.	0	0	0	0	0	

$\sim 4$	11/1	:£:-	- 4 41	-I: -I	1 -1 4 ! £ !	41- :-	£   4	
ΖΊ.	vvnat	specific	strenaths	aia vou	identify in	tnis	racuity	member?

- 22. Please list any specific changes needed to strengthen this faculty member's effectiveness.
- 23. List any other suggestions for improvement.
- 24. Other comments or suggestions?

G/FT Faculty Materials Review and Open Lab Activity Observation (continued)								
Materials Review and Open Lab Activity Observation Assessment								
☐ Satisfactory ☐ Needs Improvement ☐	Unsatisfactory							
Evaluator's Signature	Date							
	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +							

Thank you for your participation.



2100 Chester Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301-4099 (661) 336-5100

#### Article 6 - Form H/FT

Bakersfield College
Cerro Coso College
Porterville College

### KCCD Full-time Faculty Evaluation Student Evaluation for Open Lab Faculty

Instructor's Name		
Course	CRN	Date

Please fill out this brief, anonymous survey and return it to\_

This information is confidential and your responses will be anonymous. FILL IN THE ENTIRE CIRCLE THAT CORRESPONDS TO YOUR ANSWER FOR EACH QUESTION. ERASE MARKS COMPLETELY TO MAKE A CHANGE.

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not applicable
1.	The instructor was familiar with the content of the course in which I am enrolled.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	The instructor exhibited good communication and listening skills.	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	The instructor used sufficient examples to help get the points across.	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.	The instructor emphasized points in a way that will help me remember them.	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	The instructor encouraged questions and answered them clearly.	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	The instructor provided information in a clear and understandable manner.	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	The instructor offered alternative learning methods to help me meet the course goals when appropriate.	0	0	0	0	0	0
8.	The instructor respects diverse cultural backgrounds and students with disabilities.	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	The instructor treated me with courtesy and respect.	0	0	0	0	0	0
	I would feel comfortable seeking this instructor's help again.	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### **COMMENTS SECTION**

- 11. What specific changes could this instructor make to help future students succeed in this course?
- 12. List specific course activities that have helped you learn the most.
- 13. Other comments.



#### Article 6 - Form I/FT

Bakersfield College
Cerro Coso College
Porterville College

### **KCCD Full-time Faculty Evaluation Student Consent Form for Observation of Faculty/Student Interaction**

The following faculty member,evaluation process, and needs to be	, is currently undergoing an be observed while performing professional duties,
including individualized meetings with	students. You have been chosen to participate as a to take place during your meeting today.
I,, extends observe a meeting between me and _ evaluation. I understand that all inform that I am free to revoke this consent at a	nd to the Faculty Evaluation Team my permission to as it relates to his/her faculty ation about me will be held in strict confidence, and any time.
Permission to observe:	
Signed:	Date:
Permission denied or revoked:	
Signed:	Date:



Bakersfield, CA 93301-4099 (661) 336-5100 Article 6 - Form J/FT

Bakersfield College
Cerro Coso College
Porterville College

# KCCD Full-time Faculty Evaluation Observation for Counseling/Non-Instruction Activity

Evaluee		Evaluator	
Type of Session			Date
☐ Mode A Year 1 ☐ Mode B	<ul><li>☐ Mode A Year 2</li><li>☐ Temporary Faculty</li></ul>	☐ Mode A Year 3 ☐ COF Faculty	☐ Mode A Year 4

The following items should be referred to objectively after observing the faculty member in the activity indicated above. In some circumstances observation will not include all of the items; at this time you should use the "Unable to Assess" choice.

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Unable to Assess	Comments
Str	ucture and Goals:		•				
1.	Activity shows clear signs of planning and organization.	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Multiple informative elements (i.e. discussion, handouts, technology) are used, if appropriate.	0	0	0	0	0	
3.	When multiple informative elements are used, they are effectively integrated.	0	0	0	0	0	
4.	Time is used effectively.	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Faculty member maintains learning environment.	0	0	0	0	0	
6.	Presentation is clear, and transitions between topics are effective.	0	0	0	0	0	
Be	haviors:						
7.	Delivery is effective and understandable.	0	0	0	0	0	
8.	Faculty maintains effective eye contact.	0	0	0	0	0	
9.	Oral delivery is clear and easy to follow.	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rate and tone of oral delivery are effective.	0	0	0	0	0	
	Faculty exhibits a poised demeanor.	0	0	0	0	0	
12.	Faculty member is enthusiastic and excited about his/her professional assignment and content.	0	0	0	0	0	

#### Full-time Faculty Observation for Counseling/Non-Instructional Activity (continued)

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Unable to Assess	Comments
13. Language used is understandable and appropriate level for the student.	0	0	0	0	0	
Faculty and Student Rapport:	l.	I.	I		<u> </u>	
14. Faculty member is approachable.	0	0	0	0	0	
15. Faculty treats student(s) equitably.	0	0	0	0	0	
16. Faculty member discusses academic needs, goals, information, and ideas with student(s).	0	0	0	0	0	
17. Questions are encouraged.	0	0	0	0	0	
18. Questions are answered clearly.	0	0	0	0	0	
19. Faculty member and student(s) demonstrate respect for each other.	0	0	0	0	0	
Professional Expertise:						
20. Faculty member demonstrates adequate, upto-date knowledge of the topics discussed.	0	0	0	0	0	
21. Material is appropriate for setting and student level.	0	0	0	0	0	

22.	What s	pecific	strengths	did v	vou i	identifv	' in t	his t	facultv	member	?

- 23. Please list any specific changes needed to strengthen this faculty member's effectiveness.
- 24. List any other suggestions for improvement.
- 25. Other comments or suggestions?

FT Faculty Observation for Counseling/Non-Instructional Activity (continued)							
Overall Observation Assess	sment						
☐ Satisfactory ☐	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory					
Evaluator's Signature		Date					
7	Thank you for your participation.						



Bakersfield, CA 93301-4099 (661) 336-5100 **Article 6 - Form M/FT** 

Bakersfield College
Cerro Coso College
Porterville College

# KCCD Full-time Faculty Evaluation Observation for Library Faculty

Evaluee		Evaluator	
Date	Check the activity below that was observed:		
	☐ Student Interaction ☐ Works	shop	☐ Other

The following items should be referred to objectively after observing the faculty member in the activity indicated above. In some circumstances observation will not include all of the items; at this time you should use the "Unable to Assess" choice.

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Unable to Assess	Comments			
Ref	Reference assistance/one-on-one library instruction:									
	Determines student's research needs.	0	0	0	0	0				
2.	Gives clear and thorough instructions.	0	0	0	0	0				
3.	Demonstrates currency & knowledge of library resources.	0	0	0	0	0				
	Uses appropriate sources.	0	0	0	0	0				
	oup instruction:  Presents material effectively									
5.	using varied formats.	0	0	0	0	0				
6.	Content is well organized.	0	0	0	0	0				
	Material appropriate to group or assignment.	0	0	0	0	0				
Inte	eractions with students:									
8.	Approachable/friendly.	0	0	0	0	0				
9.	Treats students with respect.	0	0	0	0	0				
10.	Provides opportunity for questions and student engagement.	0	0	0	0	0				
11.	Respects diverse cultural backgrounds and students with disabilities.	0	0	0	0	0				
Professional assignments:										
12.	Completes assignments on schedule.	0	0	0	0	0				
13.	Implements projects that contribute to library development (booklists, reclassification, etc.).	0	0	0	0	0				

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Unable to Assess	Comments
14. Participates in collection development.	0	0	0	0	0	
<ol> <li>Demonstrates currency and depth of knowledge in librarianship.</li> </ol>	0	0	0	0	0	
16. Shares in departmental workload.	0	0	0	0	0	
17. Participates on campus committees and governance.	0	0	0	0	0	
18. Works with faculty in developing library activities.	0	0	0	0	0	
19. Acts as a library liaison to assigned departments.	0	0	0	0	0	
Participates in offsite     activities that promote the     library.	0	0	0	0	0	

21. What specific strengths did you identify in this faculty member?							
22. Please list any specific changes needed to strengthen this faculty member's effectiveness.							
23. List any other suggestions for improvement.							
24. Other comments or suggestions?							
Overall Observation Assessment							
☐ Satisfactory ☐ Needs Improvement ☐ Unsatisfactory							
Evaluator's Signature Date							

Thank you for your participation.

□ Bakersfield College
□ Cerro Coso College
□ Porterville College

#### Article 6 - Form O-1

Kern Community College District 2100 Chester Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301-4099



# KCCD Faculty Evaluation Evaluation Team Summary

Eval	luee					Date				
Assi	Assigned Department(s) and/or Program(s)									
	Mode A Year 1	☐ Mode A Year 2		Mode A Year 3		Mode A Year 4				
	Mode B	☐Temporary Faculty		COF Faculty		Adjunct Faculty				
The	e attached NARRA	ATIVE SUMMARY includes	comr	nents representing t	he follo	owing areas (check all that apply):				
_				,						
Ц	Materials Suppor	ting Accomplishments & G	oals							
	Observations by	Peers & Supervisor (spec	ify typ	oe:		)				
	Student Evaluation	ons								
	Faculty Service S	Surveys								
	Administrative As	ssessment								
	General Review of Faculty Member's Overall Effectiveness, including both a "Description of Strengths" as well as "Suggestions of Alternative or Innovative Methods for Consideration."									
	Linkage of Review to Staff Development Opportunities (see grid on reverse side)									
_										

**Evaluation Summary (attach additional pages if needed)** 

Kern Community College District 2100 Chester Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301-4099



### KCCD Faculty Evaluation Linkage to Staff Development

The following Staff Development Resources are noted by the Evaluation Team for the Faculty Member's consideration because of their support of the faculty member's stated goals and/or the Team's Review. Any item noted within the "Critical" column is seen as necessary and crucial by the Evaluation Team, thereby requiring follow-up between the Faculty Member, Faculty Chair, and Educational Administrator. Any special follow-up parameters are detailed below as needed. Suggested activities are implemented at the faculty member's own discretion with no follow-up by the committee or administrators.

Staff Development				Suggested
Resources	Critical	Suggested	Possible Sources	Completion Date
Active Learning Strategies				_
Creating Student Exams				
Curriculum Development				
Education Code Issue				
Effective Communication Techniques				
Faculty Best Tips				
Gender Issues				
Incorporating Critical Thinking in				
Classwork				
Information Competency				
Leadership				
Learning Styles				
Learning Communities				
Managing the Learning Environment				
Measuring Student Outcomes				
On-line Class Development				
Scoring Rubrics				
Specific Computer Courses				
Syllabus Development				
Teaching Methods				
Technology Training				
Time Management				
What Does SCANS Mean?				
Other:				

5/2006 DO/HR □ Bakersfield College□ Cerro Coso College□ Porterville College

#### Article 6 - Form P

Kern Community College District 2100 Chester Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301-4099



# KCCD Faculty Evaluation Faculty Consent for Public Recognition

Faculty Member's Name		Date
Department/Division/Area	Educational Administrate	or
	ode A Year 3	
		Please initial here if you consent.
I consent to the public release of my name as a faculty model for fellow faculty in the areas indicated by the evaluation		
I am willing to make myself available as a mentor for of indicated below.	·	
	ing possible area(s):	
<ul> <li>□ Discipline Knowledge</li> <li>□ Effective Teaching Methods</li> <li>□ Effective Use of Technology</li> <li>□ Institutional Participation</li> </ul>	rning Environment t Student Needs	



#### **Article 6 - Form P/FT**

Bakersfield College
Cerro Coso College
Porterville College

# KCCD Full-time Faculty Evaluation Faculty Service Survey

Faculty/Community To:			Leade	ership Position					
From: Faculty Evalu	ation Commit	tee			Date				
Subject: Faculty Service					I				
Evaluation of Faculty Service For		1	Dates of S	Services					
	Beginning Ending								
					<del>-</del>				
The faculty evaluation evaluation committee wo community from the uniquall of the following categor Thanks for your help!	uld like to ask e perspective y	your he ou provi	elp in de in t	assessing hi	sted above. Res	sponses in any or			
	Strongly agree	Agree		Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not Applicable			
Attends meetings of the above group regularly	0	0		0		0	0	0	
Contributes to the overall efficacy of the above group.	0	0		0	0	0			
<ol> <li>Deals in a professional manner with colleagues.</li> </ol>	0	0		О	0	O			
<ul><li>4. What positive contribution</li><li>5. What, if anything, could the</li></ul>									
Comments (feel free to attac	h additional com	nments)							
Signature					Date				
Position					I				

Thank you for your participation in this survey.

4/2008 DO/HR

Records Retention Code—Class 1, Permanent Records



2100 Chester Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301-4099 (661) 336-5100

#### Article 6 - Form Q/FT

<b>Bakersfield College</b>
Cerro Coso College
Porterville College

### KCCD Full-time Faculty Evaluation Administrative Assessment Review

Evaluee Date							
Depa	artment/Division/Area	ucational Administrator					
<ul> <li>Mode A Year 1</li> <li>Mode A Year 2</li> <li>Mode B</li> <li>Mode A Year 2</li> <li>Mode A COF Fa</li> </ul>							
	Evaluation Categories	Satis- factory	Requires Improve- ment	Unsatis- factory	Remarks or suggestions for improvement (Required for any area evaluated as "Requires Improvement" or "Unsatisfactory")		
A.	Adheres to district & campus policies						
B.	Makes self available to students and colleagues						
C.	Communicates with students and staff in a professional manner						
D.	Is prompt and timely regarding meetings, deadlines and responsiveness to others						
E.	Participates in department and campus activities						
	(For applicable programs only) Complies with any vocational or licensing board mandates.						
GENERAL COMMENTS:							
Educational Administrator's Signature					Date		
Title							